
# **CAH Reimbursement Heuristic**Using Medicare Cost Report to Reveal Opportunities



#### **Performance Model**





### **The Current Landscape**



- Rural providers continue to experience cost increases, while having to address staffing shortages, outmigration, and significant policy/legislative changes
- The past few years have fundamentally changed how many patients receive healthcare services
  - Organizations must take a proactive approach to address these changes

**₩** CTA

Evaluate the current organizational landscape and make necessary changes to improve financial performance



#### **CAH Reimbursement Overview**




#### CAH Reimbursement Methodology

- CAHs receive cost-based reimbursement for inpatient and outpatient services provided to Medicare and, in some states,
  Medicaid patients
  - Cost based reimbursement provides significant advantages to CAHs by allowing them to get paid at 101% of costs for the Medicare and Medicaid revenue
- Cost based reimbursement enables CAHs to complete certain capital initiatives that would otherwise not be available to PPS hospitals
  - When a CAH completes a facility replacement, addition, and or renovation, the amounts expensed as deprecation and interest will increase reimbursements received from cost-based payors
    - For example, if a CAH spends \$1M per year on depreciation and interest for the facility, and the CAH is 50% cost-based, meaning Medicare and Medicaid make up 50% of the charges, the hospital would receive an additional \$500K / year due to the facility initiative
      - Under the same scenario, the CAH would receive 50% of the total capital cost, as depreciated, and interest from cost-based payors of the term of the loan and depreciable life of the asset
- The above is for educational purposes as CAH cost-based reimbursement is based on allowable and unallowable expense and the allocation of expenses to cost-based and non-cost-based departments

### **Economic Philosophy**




- The financial solvency of a CAH is dependent upon the realization that revenue (volume) and expenses both contribute to the financial position of an organization
  - Value is unlocked by marginal revenue gains that help dilute down a high fixed cost environment
  - Organizations need to understand the different and impact of contribution margin
  - Cost-based reimbursement will not generate profit and only cover the costs for those proportional services
  - CAHs need to break down the silos between quality and finance for improved outcomes



### **CAH Financial & Operation Best Practices**



- Fixed costs are those which exist irrespective of volume
  - Unit staffing, medical direction, medical equipment, par levels of supplies
- Variable costs are those which would be incurred with each additional IP day
  - Incremental medical supplies, pharmaceuticals, food for patient meals
- In comparison to fixed costs, variable costs represent only a fraction of IP costs
  - As volume grows, fixed costs are diluted faster than variable costs grow



### **Performance Improvement Opportunities**



Organizations must focus and establish plans for each of the four identified areas to improve the organizational position

- Demand-Based Staffing tools
- Departmental performance improvement
- Revenue cycle and coding
- Cost report optimization
- Practice / clinic designations
- Process improvement
- Supply chain & purchasing

#### **Operating**

#### **Financial**

- Define performance gaps
- Integrate department leaders into budgeting process
- Determine cash position and run rates
- Establish actionable metrics
- Pricing transparency and patient engagement

- New market entry and increased competition
- Explore clinically integrated model
- Ambulatory network establishment
- Increase access to care
- Direct contracting
- Improve patient engagement and satisfaction

**Value** 

Market

- Payor contract reviews
- Value-based initiatives
- Population-based strategy
- Self-insured insurance plan offering
- Medicare Advantage negotiations
- Establish payor and provider partners
- Manage overall cost of care

# **Cost Report Opportunities**

#### **ED Provider Time Studies**




To ensure that Critical Access Hospitals can provide emergency services 24/7, regardless of low patient volumes, CMS reimburses for Emergency Department provider stand-by time

#### What's included?

A critical access hospital (CAH) may be reimbursed through its cost report for the reasonable cost it incurs compensating a physician for the time the physician spends in the emergency room (ER) awaiting the arrival of patients and furnishing other services to the provider (provider component). Before this cost may be claimed, the CAH must determine the amount of time the ER physician spends with patients (professional component) vs. the time spent furnishing otherwise allowable services that do not directly relate to the care of one individual patient (provider component.)



Providers furnish services to patients during an ED visit but not for the entire duration




**Target = 20 minutes per ED visit** 

	( 3	Current 80 Min/Visit)	Proposed O Min/Visit)	Variance
Total Cost	\$	2,776,769	\$ 2,845,612	\$ 68,843
Total Charges	\$	4,632,279	\$ 4,632,279	\$ -
RCC	2	0.599439	0.614301	0.014862
Medicare Charges	\$	1,459,434	\$ 1,459,434	\$ -
Medicare Reimb:	\$	874,842	\$ 896,531	\$ 21,689

### **ED Provider Opportunity**



**Map A**: Percentage of CAHs with Reimbursement Opportunity by State (FY 2021)



#### **All 45 States**

**45 of 45 states** have an opportunity to increase reimbursement

The states with the lowest percentage are **Louisiana** (5 of 19 CAHs or 26%) and West Virginia (6 of 20 CAHs or 30%)

The states with the highest percentage are **Alabama** (3 of 3 CAHs or 100%), **New Hampshire** (11 of 12 CAHs or 92%), **Montana** (35 of 38 CAHs or 92%) and **Oregon** (23 of 25 CAHs or 92%)

**Note**: Data set includes 1,189 of 1,359 critical access hospitals

Data Source: December 2022 Medicare Cost Report release for CAH fiscal year 2021

Additional Analysis: Refer to the ED Standby Time study published at www.wintergreenme.com

#### **RHC Consolidation**



#### RHC Cost Report Consolidation

- Entities that operate more than one RHC have the opportunity to consolidate cost reports
  - Must receive prior approval from MAC
  - Can improve reimbursements for organization
  - Reduces administrative burden
  - Establishes single reimbursement rate across practices
  - Note: Due to the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021, limitations exist regarding which RHCs can consolidate

		RHC 1		RHC 2		RHC 3		RHC 4		RHC 5	(	Combined	Co	onsolidated
CCN	1	16-3483		16-3470		16-8538		28-8538		16-8577				28-8538
UPL	209	.15/213.54	273	3.56/279.30	275	5.97/281.77	34	6.16/356.49	34	4.96/352.20			346	6.16/356.49
<b>Total Allowable Cost</b>	\$	524,491	\$	368,805	\$	1,178,192	\$	110,353	\$	1,000,813	\$	3,182,654	\$	3,182,654
Adjusted Visits		1,820		882		4,463		273		2,908		10,346		10,346
Cost / Visit	\$	288.18	\$	418.15	\$	263.99	\$	404.22	\$	344.16	\$	307.62	\$	307.62
Reimb / Visit	\$	211.37	\$	274.79	\$	263.99	\$	352.89	\$	344.16	\$	294.48	\$	307.62
Medicare Visits		301		211		1,226		106		1,215		3,059		3,059
Reimbursement	\$	63,621	\$	57,980	\$	323,652	\$	37,406	\$	418,154	\$	900,813	\$	941,015
										Variance:	\$			40,202

### **Med/Surg and ICU Integration**



#### ICU Integration

- Organizations that operate a separate ICU must evaluate the net financial impact of maintaining a distinct unit and realize that integration can:
  - Increase operational efficiencies
  - Improve reimbursements
  - Improve patient care


Adult & Pediatrics, Swing Bed-SNF, and Observation									
		Current		Proposed	Variance				
Routine Cost	\$	4,812,677	\$	4,850,215	\$	9,662,892			
Total Days		2,720		2,724		5,444			
Routine Rate:	\$	1,769.37	\$	1,780.55	\$	11.18			
Medicare & Medicare Adv. Days		1,687		1,687					
Medicare Routine Reimb:	\$	2,984,921	\$	3,003,786	\$	18,865			
Intensive Care Unit									
Routine Cost	\$	37,538	\$	-	\$	(37,538)			
Total Days		4		-		(4)			
Routine Rate:	\$	9,384.50	\$	-	\$	(9,384.50)			
Medicare & Medicare Adv. Days		-		-		-			
Medicare Routine Reimb:	\$		\$		\$				
Total Medicare Routine Reim:	\$	2,984,921	\$	3,003,786	\$	18,865			

### **Swing Bed NF Rate**



#### Non-Cost Based Swing Bed Days

- Cost-based reimbursement will only ever allow a hospital to break even
- The opportunity: Non-Medicare or Medicare Advantage (Swing Bed NF) patient days
- Common misconception: If contracted reimbursement rate is less than cost-based rate, negative financial impact
  - Medicaid NF carve-out rate
    - Carved out of routine costs at statewide
    - Do not negatively impact cost-based rates
- If contracted reimbursement rates exceed statewide NF carve-out rate, the hospital makes profit



### **Swing Bed Growth**



#### Swing Bed Economics

- Deliver additional inpatient (IP) rehabilitation services to the community
- Provide increased reimbursement while assisting in length-of-stay management
- Help to dilute fixed and step-fixed costs in the nursing unit
- Financial benefit occurs by increasing the proportion of IP costs that are reimbursed on a cost basis
  - Reduces overall unit costs by diluting fixed costs related to IP services

#### **Base Case**

	ADC	Total Days	Cost-Based Mix	Cost-Based Days	Non-Cost- Based Days	Payment Per Day	n-Cost-Based Payment
Acute (includes ICU)	5.9	2,154	83%	1,787	366	\$ 1,750	\$ 640,666
Observation	2.7	986	27%	266	719	1,250	899,269
Swing Bed - SNF	3.2	1,168	96%	1,121	47	1,250	58,400
Swing Bed - NF	0.1	37	0%	-	37	250	9,125
Total Days	11.9	4,344		3,175	1,169		\$ 1,607,460
Total Acute, SB SNF, Obs		4,307	73%				
Inpatient Fixed Costs		\$6,765,480					
Inpatient Variable Costs		1,096,825	1				
Swing Bed - NF Carve Out		(6,908)	_				
Total Inpatient Costs	_	\$7,862,305	_				
Inpatient Costs Per Day			_	\$ 1,825.47	_		
Cost-Based Payment				\$5,795,451	_		\$ 5,795,451
Total Payment							\$ 7,402,911
Inpatient Costs Per Day							 7,862,305
Net Margin							\$ (459,393)

#### Swing Bed ADC Increase of 2.0

	ADC	Total Days	Cost-Based Mix	Cost-Based Days	Non-Cost- Based Days	Payment Per Day	n-Cost-Based Payment
Acute (includes ICU)	5.9	2,154	83%	1,787	366	\$ 1,750	\$ 640,666
Observation	2.7	986	27%	266	719	1,250	899,269
Swing Bed - SNF	5.2	1,898	96%	1,822	76	1,250	94,900
Swing Bed - NF	0.1	37	0%	-	37	250	9,125
Total Days	13.9	5,074		3,876	1,198		\$ 1,643,960
Total Acute, SB SNF, Obs		5,037	73%				
Inpatient Fixed Costs		\$6,765,480					
Inpatient Variable Costs		1,242,825	1				
Swing Bed - NF Carve Out		(6,908)					
<b>Total Inpatient Costs</b>		\$8,001,396	_				
Inpatient Costs Per Day			_	\$ 1,588.52			
Cost-Based Payment				\$6,156,437	_		\$ 6,156,437
Total Payment					_		\$ 7,800,397
Inpatient Costs Per Day							8,001,396
Net Margin							\$ (201,000)
						Difference:	\$ 258,394

1- Assumes \$275/day marginal Acute/Obs costs and \$200/day marginal swing bed SNF and NF costs

# **Pricing Methodology**




CAHs receive cost-based reimbursement for inpatient acute, swing-bed, and outpatient services delivered to Medicare beneficiaries. Medicare patients at CAHs owe coinsurance on outpatient services based on **20 percent of applicable Part B charges**. In contrast, at hospitals paid using Medicare's outpatient prospective payment system (OPPS), coinsurance is based on 20 percent of the OPPS price under the fee schedule for Ambulatory Patient Classification units.

Because the fee schedule is generally much lower than charges, an unintended consequence of cost-based reimbursement implemented under the Rural Hospital Flexibility Program is that beneficiaries receiving care at a CAH have a higher coinsurance burden than those going to prospective payment system (PPS) hospitals.

Source: "Medicare Copayments for Critical Access Hospital Outpatient Services - Update" (MedPAC)

### **Example: PB-RHC Visit**





40%


of the cost of care is passed to the Medicare beneficiary via coinsurance (\$80/\$200)

**High prices disproportionately impact Medicare Beneficiaries** 

#### Where is the Highest Patient Burden?



Map A: Median Percent of Medicare Outpatient Cost Passed to Beneficiaries by State (FY 2021)





The range among the state median opportunity values is significant



Alaska

**Note**: Data set includes 1,300 of 1,359 critical access hospitals

Data Source: December 2022 Medicare Cost Report release for CAH fiscal year 2021.

**Additional Analysis**: Refer to the Medicare Cost Shifting study to be published at <u>www.wintergreenme.com</u>.

### **Additional Cost Report Opportunties**



- Bad Debt
  - Establish a bad debt process (policies and procedures) that determines when to send claims to collections and for pulling back claims from collections to deem as worthless
    - The CAH must pull a claim back from collections and deem worthless prior to inclusion on the Cost Report
- Charge Description Master (CDM)
  - Monitor the ratio of cost-to-charges (RCC) on Worksheet C
    - The RCCs often highlight pricing issues or improper allocation of expenses

¬	Respiratory Therapy	RCC:	0.016878
¬	Intravenous Therapy	RCC:	0.052087
¬	Diabetes Education	RCC:	0.016717
¬	Medical Supplies	RCC:	1.037691
¬	Implantable Devices	RCC:	1.065079
¬	Clinic	RCC	3.792755

### **Additional Cost Report Opportunities**



- Overhead Allocation Methodologies
  - Evaluate the methodology and stats used to allocate overhead costs on Worksheet B-1 particularly in the following areas:
    - Medical Records
    - Buildings and Fixtures (square footage)
    - Nursing Administration
- Interest Income
  - Engage cost report preparer, and if possible, establish a board-designated funded depreciation account to reduce the interest income offset
    - Most CAHs experience an interest income offset by not leveraging a board-designated funded depreciation account

### **Additional Cost Report Opportunities**



- Method II
  - Critical Access Hospitals can elect Method II as a reimbursement opportunity where the hospital will submit a consolidated claim that includes both the professional and technical charges to Medicare
    - Electing Method II will improve reimbursements for outpatient services from Medicare
- Departmental Profitability
  - Organizations need to evaluate the profitability and contribution margins of each program to determine the net financial impact on the organization
    - For example, an organization was operating a Home Health program with \$1.6M in fully-allocated cost and 7,400 visits of which 2,245 were Medicare
      - The organization received \$420,000 (\$187 / visit average) from Medicare, but would need to receive an average of \$231 for non-Medicare business

# Questions



Jonathan Pantenburg, Principal Jpantenburg@wintergreenme.com

808.853.8086